



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,726	08/29/2003		Mike Suk	HSJ920030101US1 (HITG.038	9715
7590 12/14/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Crawford Ma	unu PLLC		NEGRON, DANIELL L		
Suite 390					
1270 Northland	d Drive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
St. Paul, MN	55120		2651		
				DATE MAN ED. 12/14/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/652,726	SUK, MIKE				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Daniell L. Negrón	2651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,6-12,14-20 and 22-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5,13 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic Notic Notic	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/29/03</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

 $\mathcal{L}_{i,j}$

Application/Control Number: 10/652,726

Art Unit: 2651

Information Disclosure Statement

Page 2

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on August 29, 2003 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 6-9, 14-17, and 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forehand U.S. Patent No. 6,760,174 in view of Kamijima U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0099054.

Regarding claims 17 and 22-24, Forehand discloses a storage device (100) comprising a magnetic recording medium (108) for recording data thereon, a transducer (118) having a MR element for reading data stored in the magnetic recording medium, a motor (104), coupled to the magnetic recording medium, for translating the magnetic recording medium, an actuator (114) coupled to the transducer (118), for translating the transducer relative to the magnetic recording medium (see Fig. 1 and disclosure thereof for details).

Forehand further discloses a storage device signal processor (142), coupled to the motor (104), transducer (118), and actuator (114) for writing with the transducer reference data at a radius on the magnetic recording medium (108), attempting to read the written reference data,

Art Unit: 2651

and determining whether the read attempt was successful (column 4, lines 13-18 and column 5, lines 2-8).

Forehand further discloses increasing the protrusion (i.e. decreasing the fly height) of the transducer (118) until a read attempt is successful in order to detect read signals with low amplitude (column 3, lines 15-25), but fails to show the specifics of increasing the protrusion through the use of a heating element and adjusting the level of heating on the heating element to increase protrusion and attempting to write or read data at different drive temperatures.

Kamijima however discloses a storage device with a transducer comprising an integrated heater, which causes the transducer element to thermally expand and protrude an air bearing surface during both write and read processes above a magnetic recording medium for the purpose of compensating for a decreased quality of a read signal (page 1, paragraphs 7 and 11, page 5, column 2, lines 3-12). Furthermore Kamijima discloses that controlling the fly height of the transducer is precisely adjusted by controlling the heating value of the heater (page 1, paragraph 11, lines 7-9).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the storage device disclosed by Forehand with the transducer heating teachings of Kamijima in order to precisely control the fly height of the transducer during write and read processes and improving the signal reading/writing capability of the transducer due to increased data density.

Regarding claim 25, method claim 25 is drawn to the method of using the corresponding apparatus claimed in claim 17. Therefore method claim 25 corresponds to apparatus claim 17 and is rejected for the same reasons of obviousness as used above.

Art Unit: 2651

Regarding claims 26 and 27, claims 26 and 27 have limitations similar to those treated in the above rejection of claim 17, and are met by the references as discussed above.

Regarding claims 1 and 6-8, method claims 1 and 6-8 are drawn to the method of using the corresponding apparatus claimed in claims 17 and 22-24. Therefore method claims 1 and 6-8 correspond to apparatus claims 17 and 22-24 and are rejected for the same reasons of obviousness as used above.

Regarding claims 9 and 14-16, claims 9 and 14-16 have limitations similar to those treated in the above rejections of claim 17 and 22-24, and are met by the references as discussed above.

4. Claims 2-4, 8, 10-12, 16, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forehand U.S. Patent No. 6,760,174 as modified by Kamijima U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0099054 and further in view of Tokuyama et al U.S. Patent No. 6,594,104.

Regarding claims 18 and 19, Forehand as modified by Kamijima discloses a storage device with all the limitations of claim 17 as discussed above, but fails to show recording of the level of heating on a disk required to read the reference data successfully.

Tokuyama et al however, discloses a magnetic disk device comprising a heating controller wherein a table (e.g. look-up) is implemented to store values which correspond to heating levels (i.e. desired temperatures) of the head during a read/write process at specified locations on the disk for the purpose of compensating for problems caused by thermal expansion (i.e. deformation) during writing (column 1, lines 51-55, column 2, lines 15-23, and column 2, lines 34-38).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the storage device disclosed by Forehand as modified by Kamijima with the magnetic disk device with a table for recording heating levels in order to enable access of values which compensate for read/write errors or positioning variation that are caused by thermal expansion of the head, thus improving the quality of the read/write signals.

Regarding claim 20, claim 20 have limitations similar to those treated in the above rejection of claim 22, and are met by the references as discussed above.

Regarding claims 2-4 and 8, method claims 2-4 and 8 are drawn to the method of using the corresponding apparatus claimed in claims 18-20 and 24. Therefore method claims 2-4 and 8 correspond to apparatus claims 18-20 and 24 and are rejected for the same reasons of obviousness as used above.

Regarding claims 10-12 and 16, claims 10-12 and 16 have limitations similar to those treated in the above rejections of claim 18-20 and 24, and are met by the references as discussed above.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5, 13, and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 5, 13, and 21, prior art fails to show a method or apparatus for providing protrusion feedback for a read/write element in a storage device wherein a recording of the level of heating required to read the reference data successfully further comprises providing

Application/Control Number: 10/652,726 Page 6

Art Unit: 2651

the heating levels for the different temperatures in a look-up table for providing a correct heating level at any temperature.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniell L. Negrón whose telephone number is 703-305-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-6:00) Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh N. Tran can be reached on 703-305-4040. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 8, 2004

SINH TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER